



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,608	09/16/2002	Cohava Gelber	3823-4000US2	4734

27123 7590 01/19/2007  
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.  
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER  
NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101

EXAMINER
----------

RAWLINGS, STEPHEN L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1643

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/19/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>		
	10/049,608		GELBER, COHAVA		
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>		
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.		1643		

**All Participants:**

(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

(2) Melissa B. Wenk.

**Date of Interview:** 10 January 2007

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection over claim 6 of copending Application No. 11/332,849*

Claims discussed:

65-78

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*


  

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**STEPHEN L. RAWLINGS, PH.D.**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner discussed the need to have Applicant file a terminal disclaimer, disclaiming a patent issued upon copending Application No. 11/332,849, or alternatively to cancel claim 6 of the copending application by preliminary amendment, thus obviating the need to file a terminal disclaimer. The Examiner further discussed amending the claims to place this application in condition for allowance. Ms. Wenk agreed to confer with the Applicant, and take the appropriate action to resolve the issue of obviousness-type double patenting over the copending application..



**STEPHEN L. RAWLINGS, PH.D.**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**